



RESPONSE TO COMMENTS MATRIX – April 2016
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

This matrix references all the comments received regarding the EWMP in the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board email dated March 24, 2016. For each individual comment, the matrix includes a response describing, if necessary, how it has been addressed, and the status.

Summary of Comments of the MCW EWMP from LA Regional Board email dated 3/24/16 & Response to Comments

Comment Number	EWMP Reference	Summary of Comments and Necessary Revisions	Date	Response to Comment
1	Table 43	None of the tables, figures, or Appendix 7C of the EWMP list interim compliance milestones. All of the dates listed are the final TMDL compliance deadlines. In addition, Table 43 does not include specific interim milestones and dates for compliance as requested. Table 43 continues to propose non-specific interim milestones of, "Assess progress toward compliance with TMDL requirements." Specific interim implementation milestones must be included in the EWMP. For example, an interim milestone could be annual implementation of a specific percentage of Green Street BMP capacity and/ or annual implementation of a specific percentage of Regional BMP capacity on private parcels by Permittee per assessment area.	4/22	Per discussion with Regional Board Staff on 4/21/16 interim milestones have been added to Table 43 including: 1) Complete Implementation of BMPs including Regional BMP Site LC-02 for the 2017 compliance date; 2) Complete Design of BMPs by December 2019 for the BMPs for the 2021 compliance date; and 3) Complete implementation of all BMPs for the 2021 compliance date. The compliance element "Achieve Compliance with Bacterial Indicator TMDL for Wet Weather Conditions was combined with the Bacteria TMDL Geometric Mean Deadline.



RESPONSE TO COMMENTS MATRIX – April 2016
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

Comment Number	EWMP Reference	Summary of Comments and Necessary Revisions	Date	Response to Comment
2	Section 5.3.3.2.	Provide interim and final completion dates for each of the proposed regional BMP projects listed in Section 5.3.3.2.	4/22	Per discussion with Regional Board Staff on 4/21 Table 31 in Section 5.3.3.2 was updated to include the dates that each Regional BMP will be designed and the date the BMP will be completed.
3	Table 42, Figures 33, 36 - 40	In Table 42, Figures 33, 36 - 40 and throughout the EWMP, revise the final implementation dates to include a month and year not just the year. In Figure 38, dates are missing for Bacteria and Benthic in the Stokes and Las Virgenes Creeks assessment area.	3/24	Table 42, Figures 33, 36 - 40 and throughout the EWMP, has been updated to include month and year for future final implementation dates. Figure 38, has been updated to include the dates for Bacteria and Benthic in the Stokes and Las Virgenes Creeks assessment area.
4	Section 5.3.3.6	The revised EWMP did not provide further explanation of the private BMP opportunities or incentives. The RAA has demonstrated that public and private Regional BMPs will be needed to achieve compliance with the TMDLs. Therefore, the second revision of the EWMP needs to include a strategy for identifying private parcel opportunities, outreach, and incentives that will be offered to private owners to		Per discussion with Regional Board Staff on 4/21 a Section 5.3.3.6 Private Regional BMP Outreach Program was added to identify the coordination efforts for placement of Regional BMPs on private land.



RESPONSE TO COMMENTS MATRIX – April 2016
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

Comment Number	EWMP Reference	Summary of Comments and Necessary Revisions	Date	Response to Comment
		encourage the installation of private regional BMPs. In addition, include a schedule for implementation.		
5	Section 5.3.3.2	For each proposed regional BMP project listed in Section 5.3.3.2, clearly state the storm water volume from the 85th percentile, 24-hour storm event and compare that volume to the design volume for each BMP. For example, Section 5.3.3.2 states, “Except for TC-02 and LVC-14, the BMP volumes listed in Table 28 [should be 31] are less than the 85th percentile, 24-hour storm event for the tributary drainage area of these BMPs.” However, the actual volume of the 85th percentile 24-hour storm event is not provided.		Table 31 has been updated to include the the storm water volume from the 85th percentile, 24-hour storm event for the drainage area for each of the Regional BMPs.
6	Table 32	Provide information for BMP ID TC-27, which is listed in Table 32, but not discussed elsewhere in the EWMP.		BMP TC-27 was removed from the EWMP due to feasibility however remained in Table 32 as an oversight. It has been deleted form Table 32.
7	Table 15	In Table 15, Palo Comado Creek is listed as a Category 3 – Medium Priority for specific conductivity; however, Palo Comado Creek is not listed in Table 13 as having exceedances of receiving water limitations for specific conductivity. Rectify this discrepancy.		The data has been reviewed for Palo Comado Creek and it is in compliance for specific conductivity. The inclusion in Table 15 was an oversight as it was previously deleted from



RESPONSE TO COMMENTS MATRIX – April 2016
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

Comment Number	EWMP Reference	Summary of Comments and Necessary Revisions	Date	Response to Comment
				Table 13 but not Table 15. It has now been deleted from Table 15.
8		In Table 15, Category 3 – Medium Priority of waterbody-pollutant combinations were eliminated for Las Virgenes Creek, Lindero Creek Reach 2, Medea Creek Reach1 and Medea Creek Reach 2 and no justification was provided for not including these waterbody-pollutant combinations. Provide justification for not including these waterbody-pollutant combinations as a Category 3 pollutant or include these waterbody-pollutant combinations in Table 15.		The waterbody-pollutant combinations have been added back into Table 15.
9	Appendix 9	The maps in the EWMP do not provide the locations of the Permittees MS4. In order to correct this deficiency Include the maps from the CIMP Figures 8 through 11 and the figures in Appendix J. These maps may be included in the EWMP as an appendix.		CIMP Figures Figures 8 through 11 and the figures in Appendix J have been included in a new EWMP Appendix 9 Permittee MS4 Location Figures.
10	Section 3.1.4	In Section 3.1.4, page 15, the adoption dates are reversed for the dry and wet weather SMB Beaches Bacterial TMDLs. Change the sentence to read: “On January 24, 2002 and December 12, 2002, the LARWQCB adopted the dry weather		The sentence in Section 3.1.4 has been modified to read: “On January 24, 2002 and December 12, 2002, the LARWQCB adopted the dry



RESPONSE TO COMMENTS MATRIX – April 2016
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

Comment Number	EWMP Reference	Summary of Comments and Necessary Revisions	Date	Response to Comment
		and wet weather TMDLs for bacteria at Santa Monica Bay Beaches, respectively.”		weather and wet weather TMDLs for bacteria at Santa Monica Bay Beaches, respectively.”
11	Table 12	The Malibu Creek and Lagoon TMDL for Sedimentation and Nutrients to Address Benthic Community Impairments addresses the Sedimentation/Siltation impairment in Las Virgenes Creek. Make this correction to Table 12 on page 18.		The statement “The Malibu Creek and Lagoon TMDL for Sedimentation and Nutrients to Address Benthic Community Impairments“ has been added under the TMDL Development Status Column for Las Virgenes Creek for Sedimentation/Siltation.